1 RANDALL S. LUSKEY (SBN: 240915) rluskey@paulweiss.com 2 PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP 3 535 Mission Street, 24th Floor 4 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (628) 432-5100 5 Facsimile: (628) 232-3101 6 ROBERT ATKINS (*Pro Hac Vice* admitted) 7 ratkins@paulweiss.com CAITLIN E. GRUSAUSKAS (Pro Hac Vice admitted) 8 cgrusauskas@paulweiss.com ANDREA M. KELLER (Pro Hac Vice admitted) 9 akeller@paulweiss.com PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON 10 & GARRISON LLP 1285 Avenue of the Americas 11 New York, NY 10019 12 Telephone: (212) 373-3000 Facsimile: (212) 757-3990 13 Attorneys for Defendants 14 **UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**; 15 RASIER, LLC; and RASIER-CA, LLC 16 [Additional Counsel Listed on Signature Page] 17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 18 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 19 IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., MDL No. 3084 CRB 20 PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT Judge: Honorable Charles Breyer LITIGATION 21 **DEFENDANTS AND THIRD-PARTY** 22 PLAINTIFFS UBER TECHNOLOGIES, This Document Relates to: 23 INC.; RASIER, LLC; AND RASIER-CA, LLC'S THIRD-PARTY COMPLAINT Jane Doe LS 237 v. Uber Technologies, 24 Inc., et al. Case No.: 3:23-cv-03973 25 26 27 28

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., RASIER, LLC, AND RASIER-CA, LLC'S THIRD-PARTY COMPLAINT

6

11

9

1213

1415

1617

18 19

2021

22

2324

2526

27

28

DEFENDANTS' THIRD-PARTY COMPLAINT

Defendants and Third-Party Plaintiffs Uber Technologies, Inc., Rasier, LLC, and Rasier-CA, LLC ("Defendants" and "Third-Party Plaintiffs") by and through their attorneys, hereby allege the following against Hector Horlandy Mier ("Third-Party Defendant"):

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. Defendant and Third-Party Plaintiff Uber Technologies, Inc. is a California corporation that is registered with and conducts business within the State of California.
- 2. Defendant and Third-Party Plaintiff Rasier, LLC is a California corporation that is registered with and conducts business within the State of California.
- 3. Defendant and Third-Party Plaintiff Rasier-CA, LLC is a California corporation that is registered with and conducts business within the State of California.
- 4. Based on information and belief, Third-Party Defendant Hector Horlandy Mier is an individual residing in Orlando, Florida.
- 5. Third-Party Plaintiffs Uber Technologies, Inc., Rasier, LLC, and Rasier-CA, LLC are Defendants in the above-entitled action wherein Plaintiff Jane Doe LS 237 ("Plaintiff"), seeks damages for injuries allegedly caused by Uber Technologies, Inc., Rasier, LLC, Rasier-CA, LLC, and others, by way of the Plaintiff's Original Complaint and Jury Demand, filed on August 7, 2023, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Case No. 3:23-cv-03973, Plaintiff's Amended Short Form Complaint, filed on April 10, 2024, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, Case No. 3:23-cv-03973, and the Master Long Form Complaint filed on February 15, 2024, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB (collectively, the "Complaint"). Third-Party Plaintiff's alleged injuries or damages.

6.

1213

14

1516

17

18

19 20

2122

23

2425

26

27

28

that purportedly occurred in or around Seminole County, Florida.

7. In the event that, as a result of the underlying action, it is determined that Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Third-Party Plaintiffs, and there have been acts or omissions for which Third-

By way of the Complaint, Plaintiff seeks damages arising from an alleged sexual assault

entitled to recover from Third-Party Plaintiffs, and there have been acts or omissions for which Third-Party Plaintiffs are responsible, said acts or omissions were caused by the tortious or otherwise actionable conduct of Third-Party Defendant.

JURISDICTION

- 8. This Court has jurisdiction of the underlying action under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1) because the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and because the underlying action is between citizens of different states.
- 9. This Court has jurisdiction over this Third-Party Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) because the Third-Party Complaint shares a common nucleus of operative facts with the underlying action so that the Third-Party Complaint is so related to the underlying action as to form part of the same case or controversy.

DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT

10. Assignment in the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, is appropriate because the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation assigned the *In re: Uber Technologies, Inc., Passenger Sexual Assault Litigation* to this Court for consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 1407 and the underlying action was directly filed in the Northern District of California. *See* Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB, Dkt. 1 ("Transfer Order"), Dkt. 177 ("Stipulated Pretrial Order No. 6: Direct Filing").

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Contractual Indemnity)

11. Third-Party Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

- 12. Third-Party Defendant entered into multiple written agreements with Third-Party Plaintiffs. Those agreements outline the respective rights and responsibilities relating to Third-Party Defendant's use of the Uber App. Of particular relevance here, Third-Party Defendant agreed to indemnify and defend Third-Party Plaintiffs, including with respect to claims such as those asserted by Plaintiff in the Complaint against Third-Party Plaintiffs. The circumstances that give rise to Third-Party Defendant's contractual duty to indemnify and defend are the subject of Plaintiff's Complaint against Third-Party Plaintiffs.
- Agreement ("TSA") with Rasier-DC, LLC. The TSA provides that Third-Party Defendant "enter[ed] into this Agreement for the purpose of accessing and using the Uber Services," which "enable an authorized transportation provider to seek, receive and fulfill requests for transportation services from an authorized user of Uber's mobile applications." (Exhibit A Technology Services Agreement, Updated Dec. 11, 2015, p. 1). The TSA required the Third-Party Defendant, among other things, to "comply with all applicable laws in your performance of this Agreement." (Id. ¶ 9.1).
 - 14. The TSA further provides:

You shall indemnify, defend (at Company's option) and hold harmless [Third-Party Plaintiffs] and their respective officers, directors, employees, agents, successors and assigns from and against any and all liabilities, expenses (including legal fees), damages, penalties, fines, social security contributions and taxes arising out of or related to: (a) your breach of your representations, warranties or obligations under this Agreement; or (b) a claim by a third party (including Users, regulators and governmental authorities) directly or indirectly related to your provision of Transportation Services or use of the Uber Services. This indemnification provision shall not apply to your breach of any representations regarding your status as an independent contractor.

(*Id.* \P 10).

15 16

17

18

20

19

21 22

23 24

25 26

28

27

15. On January 8, 2020, Third-Party Defendant also entered into a Platform Access Agreement ("PAA") with Rasier-DC, LLC. This PAA governed Third-Party Defendant's "access to [Third-Party Plaintiff's] Platform . . . which facilitates [Third-Party Defendant's] provision of rideshare or peer-to-peer transportation service . . . to account holders seeking to access certain types of P2P Service " (Exhibit B – Platform Access Agreement, Updated Jan. 6, 2020, p. 1). It further granted Third-Party Defendant "a non-exclusive, non-transferable, non-sublicensable, non-assignable license, during the term of this Agreement, to use our Platform" that was "[s]ubject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement." (Id. ¶ 2.1). The PAA requires the Third-Party Defendant, among other things, to comply with "all laws . . . that apply to [his] provision of Rides." (Id. ¶ 2.2).

16. In connection with the PAA, Third-Party Defendant also entered into an Indemnity Agreement with Rasier-DC, LLC on January 8, 2020. The Indemnity Agreement provides:

> To the maximum extent permitted by applicable law, you will indemnity, defend (at our option) and hold us and our affiliates and each of our and their respective officers, directors, employees, agents, or shareholders harmless from and against all claims, liabilities, expenses (including reasonable attorney's fees and related expenses), damages, penalties, fines, social security contributions and taxes ("Losses") asserted by a third party and arising out of or related to your breach or alleged breach of the Agreement or this Indemnity Agreement, your provision of Rides, your access to our Platform or your interaction with any third party.

(Exhibit C—Indemnity Agreement, Jan. 6, 2020, ¶ 1.1).

- 17. The PAA and the Indemnity Agreement provide that the law that governs the agreements is the law of the state where Third-Party Defendant resided when he entered into the agreements. (Ex. B, ¶ 12.7, Ex. C, ¶ 6). Based on information and belief, Third-Party Defendant resided in Florida.
- 18. Plaintiff's Complaint alleges, among other things, conduct entitling Plaintiff to compensatory damages against Third-Party Plaintiffs. Specifically, Plaintiff's Complaint alleges that on or about October 2, 2019, while Third-Party Defendant was providing her a ride using the Uber

District of California, Case No. 3:23-cv-03973, ¶¶171-72).

Party Plaintiff's platform, and interaction with a third-party.

App, Third-Party Defendant "exposed himself and masturbated in front of Plaintiff." (Pl.'s Original

Compl. and Jury Demand, filed on August 7, 2023, United States District Court for the Northern

alleged tortious or otherwise actionable conduct, which arises out of or relates to his breach of the TSA,

the PAA, and the Indemnity Agreement with Third-Party Plaintiffs, provision of rides, access to Third-

covered by the TSA, the PAA, and the Indemnity Agreement he entered into with Third-Party Plaintiffs

The damages alleged by Plaintiff arise out of or relate to Third-Party Defendant's

Third-Party Defendant's alleged tortious or otherwise actionable conduct is therefore

As a result, if Third-Party Plaintiffs are found in some manner liable to Plaintiff in this

1

6

19.

20.

23.

9

15

17

16

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27 28

and gives rise to Third-Party Defendant's contractual duty to indemnify and defend. 12 21. Third-Party Plaintiffs deny liability for the events and occurrences described in Plaintiff's Complaint. 14 22. Third-Party Defendant's alleged tortious or otherwise actionable conduct was the direct and proximate cause of the damages alleged by Plaintiff.

24. By reason of the foregoing, Third-Party Plaintiffs are entitled to be fully defended and indemnified by Third-Party Defendant for any amounts that may in good faith be paid by way of compromise, settlement, or judgment. Additionally, Third-Party Plaintiffs are entitled to all costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees that Third-Party Plaintiffs incurred in the defense of the underlying action brought by Plaintiff and the prosecution of this Third-Party Complaint.

action under any theory of recovery, Third-Party Plaintiffs allege that their liability would be based

solely upon a derivative form of liability not resulting from their conduct and, therefore, are entitled to

complete indemnity from Third-Party Defendant.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Contribution)¹

- 25. Third-Party Plaintiffs incorporate herein each allegation set forth above.
- 26. If Plaintiff has been injured or damaged as alleged in the Complaint, any such injuries or damages were directly and proximately caused and contributed to by the negligent or otherwise tortious conduct of Third-Party Defendant, such that Third-Party Defendant is a tortfeasor, originally liable to Plaintiff.
- 27. The fault, acts, or omissions of Third-Party Plaintiffs, if any, must be compared with the fault, acts, or omissions of Third-Party Defendant or any other persons or parties.
- 28. Under the foregoing circumstances, any award of damages to Plaintiff pursuant to her claims in the Complaint must be apportioned to, and be paid by, Third-Party Defendant according to the relative degree of fault of Third-Party Defendant.
- 29. As a result, if Third-Party Plaintiffs are held liable to Plaintiff on any of the allegations made in the Complaint, Third-Party Defendant, to the extent of Third-Party Defendant's fault, is obligated to reimburse and will be liable to Third-Party Plaintiffs for any liabilities so assessed by way of contribution. Accordingly, Third-Party Plaintiffs assert herein their rights to such contribution. Specifically, Third-Party Plaintiffs assert that Third-Party Defendant is obligated to pay its pro rata share of any judgment or settlement herein awarded in direct proportion to the amount of fault of the Third-Party Defendant.

¹ Third-Party Plaintiffs recognize that under Florida law a party cannot bring a contribution claim through a third-party complaint when the underlying negligence action is still pending. Third-Party Plaintiffs plead contribution here only in the event that the Court determines a different state's law governs. Additionally, Third-Party Plaintiffs reserve their right to plead the fault of Third-Party Defendant as an affirmative defense to Plaintiff's Complaint.

⁻⁷⁻

4

5

7

8

g

10 11

1213

1415

16

17 18

1920

21

22

23

2425

2627

28

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Declaratory Relief)

- 30. Third-Party Plaintiffs incorporate herein each allegation set forth above.
- 31. Without in any way acknowledging any liability to Plaintiff, Third-Party Plaintiffs allege that, if Third-Party Plaintiffs are ultimately held liable in this action, such liability will be the direct and proximate result of the negligent or otherwise actionable conduct of Third-Party Defendant. As a result, Third-Party Plaintiffs allege that Third-Party Defendant is obligated to fully or partially indemnify Third-Party Plaintiffs for the sums that they may be compelled to pay as a result of any damages, judgments, or other awards against Third-Party Plaintiffs in this action, if any, and to pay Third-Party Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees and costs incurred in defending against the claims of Plaintiff in this action and prosecuting the claims asserted by way of the Third-Party Complaint.
- 32. Third-Party Plaintiffs desire a judicial determination of the respective rights and duties of Third-Party Plaintiffs and Third-Party Defendant with respect to the damages claimed in Plaintiff's Complaint. In particular, Third-Party Plaintiffs desire a declaration of the respective liabilities of Third-Party Plaintiffs and Third-Party Defendant for such damages, if any, and a declaration of Third-Party Defendant's responsibilities to indemnify or provide contribution to Third-Party Plaintiffs and to hold them harmless from any sums that they may be compelled to pay, and for the attorneys' fees and costs Third-Party Plaintiffs have already incurred and will incur in defending against Plaintiff's claims and prosecuting the claims asserted by way of the Third-Party Complaint.
- 33. Such a declaration is necessary and appropriate at this time to enable Third-Party Plaintiffs to ascertain their rights and duties with respect to the defense of this action and the payment of any damages, judgment, or other awards that may be recovered against them by Plaintiff. Furthermore, the claims of Plaintiff and the claims of Third-Party Plaintiffs arise out of the same transaction and occurrence, and a determination of both in one proceeding is necessary and

10

1112

13

15

14

1617

18 19

20

21

22

2324

26

25

28

27

appropriate to avoid the multiplicity of actions that would result if Third-Party Plaintiffs are required to defend against the claims of Plaintiff in the Complaint and then bring a subsequent action against Third-Party Defendant for indemnification and contribution of sums that Third-Party Plaintiffs may be compelled to pay as a result of any damages, judgments, or other awards recovered by Plaintiff against Third-Party Plaintiffs, if any.

PRAYER

Wherefore, Third-Party Plaintiffs respectfully pray for the following judgment:

- 1. For judgment against Third-Party Defendant on the First Cause of Action, awarding Third-Party Plaintiffs total contractual indemnity for any amounts which may in good faith be paid by Third-Party Plaintiffs to Plaintiff by way of compromise, settlement, or judgment;
- 2. For judgment against Third-Party Defendant on the Second Cause of Action, in the form of contribution based on Third-Party Defendant's proportionate share of fault on any sums adjudged against Third-Party Plaintiffs, if any, in favor of Plaintiff herein;
- 3. For a judicial determination on the Third Cause of Action of the responsibilities of Third-Party Defendant to fully or partially indemnify or provide contribution to Third-Party Plaintiffs and hold Third-Party Plaintiffs harmless from any damages, judgment, or other awards that may be recovered against Third-Party Plaintiffs by Plaintiff on the Complaint pursuant to principles of indemnity or contribution;
- 4. For any other equitable decree or order required to apportion liability and damages, and ensure Third-Party Defendant reimburses Third-Party Plaintiffs for any payments they make to Plaintiff in excess of Third-Party Plaintiffs' proportionate share of fault, if any;
- 5. That Third-Party Plaintiffs be awarded their attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action to the extent permitted by law; and
 - 6. For any and such further relief as the Court may determine is just and proper.

1		
2	DATED: December 18, 2024	Respectfully submitted,
3		SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.
4		Des /s/Marin Cale de
5		By: <u>/s/ Maria Salcedo</u> MARIA SALCEDO
6		MARIA SALCEDO (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)
7		msalcedo@shb.com
8		SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P. 2555 Grand Blvd.
		Kansas City, MO 64108
9		Telephone: (816) 474-6550
10		Facsimile: (816) 421-5547
11		MICHAEL B. SHORTNACY (SBN: 277035)
		mshortnacy@shb.com
12		SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.
13		2121 Avenue of the Stars, Ste. 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90067
		Telephone: (424) 285-8330
14		Facsimile: (424) 204-9093
15		DATRICK OOT (Admitted Pro Hag Vice)
16		PATRICK OOT (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) oot@shb.com
17		SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.
1 /		1800 K St. NW Ste. 1000 Washington, DC 20006
18		Telephone: (202) 783-8400
19		Facsimile: (202) 783-4211
20		KYLE N. SMITH (Pro Hac Vice admitted)
21		ksmith@paulweiss.com
		JESSICA E. PHILLIPS (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i> admitted) jphillips@paulweiss.com
22		PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON
23		& GARRISON LLP 2001 K Street, NW
24		Washington DC, 20006
25		Telephone: (202) 223-7300 Facsimile: (202) 223-7420
26		. ,
27		Attorneys for Defendants UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
28		RASIER, LLC, and RASIER-CA, LLC
40		-10-